A circadian rhythm-related MTNR1B genetic variant (rs10830963) modulate body weight change and insulin resistance after 9 months of a high protein/low carbohydrate vs a standard hypocaloric diet



Daniel Antonio de Luis, Olatz Izaola, David Primo, Rocio Aller

PII:	\$1056-8727(19)30096-0
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2020.107534
Reference:	JDC 107534
To appear in:	Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications
Received date:	23 January 2019
Revised date:	6 January 2020
Accepted date:	8 January 2020

Please cite this article as: D.A. de Luis, O. Izaola, D. Primo, et al., A circadian rhythmrelated MTNR1B genetic variant (rs10830963) modulate body weight change and insulin resistance after 9 months of a high protein/low carbohydrate vs a standard hypocaloric diet, *Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications*(2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jdiacomp.2020.107534

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier.

A circadian rhythm-related *MTNR1B* genetic variant (rs10830963) modulate body weight change and insulin resistance after 9 months of a high protein/low carbohydrate vs a standard hypocaloric diet

Daniel Antonio de Luis, Olatz Izaola, David Primo, Rocio Aller

Endocrinology and Nutrition Research Center, School of Medicine, Department of Endocrinology and Nutrition, Hospital Clinico Universitario, University of Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain.

Corresponding author. Prof Dr Daniel de Luis Endocrinology and Nutrition Research Center. School of Medicine, Valladolid University, C/Los perales 16 Simancas, 47130 Valladolid Spain. Tel.: 34 983420400; fax: 34983331566.

E-mail address: dadluis@yahoo.es (D.A. de Luis).

Running title: rs10830963 polymorphism and dietary intervention

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests"

No funding in this work.

Summary

Background & aims: The risk allele (G) of rs10830963 in the melatonin receptor 1 B (*MTNR1B*) gene presents an association with biochemical parameters and obesity. We study the effect of this SNP on insulin resistance and weight loss secondary to two hypocaloric diets.

Methods: 270 obese subjects were randomly allocated during 9 months (Diet HP: a high protein/low carbohydrate vs. Diet S: a standard severe hypocaloric diets)). Anthropometric parameters, fasting blood glucose, C-reactive protein (CRP), insulin concentration, insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), lipid profile and adipocytokines levels were measured. Genotype of *MTNR1B* gene polymorphism (rs10830963) was evaluated.

Results: All adiposity parameters, systolic blood pressure and leptin levels decreased in all subjects after both diets. This improvement of adiposity parameters was higher in non-G allele carriers than G allele carriers. After weight loss with Diet HP, (CC vs. CG+GG at 9 months); total cholesterol (delta:- 9.9 ± 2.4 mg/dl vs. -4.8 ± 2.2 mg/dl:p<0.05), LDL-cholesterol (delta:- 8.3 ± 1.9 mg/dl vs. -5.1 ± 2.2 mg/dl: p<0.05), insulin (delta:- 4.7 ± 0.8 UI/L vs. -0.9 ± 1.0 UI/L: p<0.05), triglycerides (delta:- 17.7 ± 3.9 mg/dl vs. -6.1 ± 2.8 mg/dl: p<0.05) and HOMA IR (delta:- 0.8 ± 0.2 units vs. -0.2 ± 0.1 units: p<0.05) improved only in no G allele carriers. After weight loss with Diet S in non G allele carriers, insulin levels (delta:- 29.2 ± 3.4 mg/dl vs. -8.2 ± 3.8 mg/dl: p<0.05), HOMA-IR (delta (CC vs. CG+GG): -1.1 ± 0.2 units vs. -0.1 ± 0.1 units: p<0.05), total cholesterol (delta:- 15.9 ± 7.4 mg/dl vs. -5.8 ± 2.9 mg/dl:ns) and LDL-cholesterol (delta:- 13.7 ± 5.9 mg/dl vs. -6.0 ± 2.9 mg/dl: ns) decreased, too.

Conclusions: our study detected a relationship of rs10830963 variant of *MTNR1B* gene with adiposity changes, cholesterol changes and insulin resistance modification induced by two different hypocaloric during 9 months.

Keywords:

rs10830963

Standard diet,

High protein diet

MTNR1B

INTRODUCTION

It has been recognized that circadian system is involved in regulation of energy balance and body weight (1). In human beings, disruption of this circadian rhythm by means of social jet lag, shift work, and consumption of a high amount of fat along the day has and metabolic complications (type 2 diabetes mellitus, glucose intolerance, hyperlipemia, hypertension or cardiovascular disease) (2-4). One of the most well-known chronobiotics is melatonin, a hormone produced by pineal gland that shows a main role in the control of these circadian rhythms (5).

The action of melatonin is realized by two membrane receptors; melatonin receptor 1 (MT1, encoded by *MTNR1A*) and melatonin receptor 2 (MT2, encoded by *MTNR1B*). *MTNR1B* is the ubiquitous receptor of both and it is located in diencephalon, pancreatic islets and retin tissue. Recent genome-wide association studies have identified common variants in the *MTNR1B* gene (6). One of this SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphisms) (rs10830963) in the melatonin receptor type 1B (*MTNR1B*) gene, has been related with altered rhythm and signal of melatonin (7). Interestingly, this genetic variant has also been related to diabetes mellitus type 2 (8-9), lipoproteins (10-11) and weight (12). Moreover, evidence has indicated that melatonin plays a key role in the regulation of adipose tissue (lipogenesis and lipolysis), the participation un the browning process of withe adipose tissue, the activation of brown adipose tissue and the maintenance of an energy balance (13-14)

Despite these above-mentioned relationships, investigations studying the effect of this polymorphism on response to weight loss strategies are scarce. Goni et al (15) reported that rs10830963 variant could be related with weight loss induced by a caloric restriction. The same authors (16) have detected a relationship of this genetic variant with lipid response after 2-year weight loss diet. A significant interaction was detected between rs10830963 genotypes (17) and the dietary intervention with a hypocaloric diet based in Mediterranean style on body weight loss and insulin resistance, too. Therefore, we hypothesized that the MTNR1B genotype might influence changes in body weight and metabolic parameters in response to different hypocaloric strategies.

In the present study, we evaluate the effect of this SNP on changes in body weight and insulin resistance in response to two different weight-loss diets (a high protein/low carbohydrate vs. a standard severe hypocaloric diets) during 9 months.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects and procedure:

Two hundred and eighty one patients were randomly assigned to one of two energy-reduced diets during 9 months follow-up time (a high protein/low carbohydrate vs. a standard severe hypocaloric diets) by a consecutive method of sampling among subjects send from Primary Care Physicians. This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, the local ethics committee approved all procedures involving patients and all subjects provided informed consent.

Major exclusion criteria were the presence of a dietary intervention during the 6 months prior to the study, unstable cardiovascular or cerebrovascular diseases, insufficient motivation as well as the use of any of these drugs; dipeptidyl type IV inhibitors drugs, thiazolidinedione, metformin, GLP-1 analogs, sGLT2 inhibitors, insulin, glucocorticoids, angiotensin receptor blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, psychoactive medications, statins and other lipid drugs. The inclusion criteria were the following; body mass index \geq 30 kg/m² and an adult age ranged from 18 to 70 years.

Fasting blood samples (15 ml) were obtained at routine times in clinical settings at baseline, 3 months and 9 months. Levels of basal glucose, C-reactive protein (CRP), insulin, insulin resistance as homeostasis model assessment (HOMA-IR), total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, plasma triglycerides concentration and serum adipokines (leptin, adiponectin and resistin) were analyzed within the start of the trial and repeated after 3 and 9 months of both hypocaloric diets. Anthropometric parameters (weight, height, waist circumference and fat mass by bioimpedance) and blood pressure were measured in the morning before breakfast. Genotype of *MTNR1B* gene polymorphism (rs10830693) was evaluated. The results were analyzed for the combined *CG* and *GG* as a group and CC genotype as second group.

Dietary Intervention:

270 obese patients were randomly allocated to one of the next two diets. Diet HP (n=137) (severe hypocaloric diet, high protein-low carbohydrate) consisted in a diet of 1050 cal/day, 33% of fats (39.0 g/day), 33% of carbohydrates (86.1 g/day) and 34% of proteins (88.6 g/day). The distribution of fats was: 63.8% of monounsaturated fats, 23.5% of saturated fats, and 12.6% of polyunsaturated fats. Diet S (n=133) (severe hypocaloric diet, standard protein) consisted in a diet of 1093 cal/day, 27% fats (32.6 g), 53% carbohydrates (144.3 g/day), and 20% proteins (55.6 g/day). The distribution of fats was; 67.4% of monounsaturated fats, 20.9% of saturated fats, and 11.6% of polyunsaturated fats. The exercise recommendations for patients of both groups were the completion of aerobic physical activities at least 3 times per week (60 min each). The adherence of both diets was recorded each week with a phone call in order to improve both diets with a dietitian. National composition food tables were used as reference (18). Records of daily dietary intake for three days at basal time and at 9 months' time including a weekend day were evaluated with a computer-based data evaluation system (Dietosource ®, Gen, Sw).

Measurements

Body mass index was calculated as body weight in kilograms/(height ² in meters). Waist circumference was measured in the narrowest diameter between xiphoid process and iliac crest. Electrical bioimpedance was used to measure body composition with an accuracy of 50 g (19). Blood pressure was measured twice after a 10 minutes rest with a random zero mercury sphygmomanometer, and averaged (Omrom, LA,CA).

Insulin was analized by radio-immunoanalysis (RIA Diagnostic Corporation, Los Angeles, CA) with a sensitivity of 0.5mUI/L (normal range 0.5-30 mUI/L) (20), plasma glucose levels were determined by using an automated glucose oxidase method (Glucose analyser 2, Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, California) and the homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was obtained using these values (21). Serum total cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations were determined by enzymatic colorimetric assay

(Technicon Instruments, Ltd., New York, N.Y., USA). HDL cholesterol was determined enzymatically in the supernatant after precipitation of other lipoproteins with dextran sulphate-magnesium. LDL cholesterol was calculated using Friedewald formula (LDL cholesterol= total cholesterol-HDL cholesterol-triglycerides/5) (22).

CRP was determined by immunoturbimetry (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany), with a CV% 2.8%. Adiponectin was measured by ELISA (R&D systems, Inc., Minneapolis, USA) (DRP300) with a CV% 3.8% (23). Leptin was by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Inc., Texas, USA) with a CV% 3.5% (24). Resistin was measured by ELISA (Biovendor Laboratory, Inc., Brno, Czech Republic) with a CV% 3.2% (25).

Genotyping of MTNR1B gene polymorphism

Genomic DNA was extracted from the buffy coat fraction of centrifuged blood by using commercial kit extraction (Biorad, LA, CA). Primers were designed with the Sequenom Assay Design v4 (SEQUENOM, Inc.San Diego, California CA). Genotyping for the rs10830963 polymorphism was performed by polymerase chain reaction real time analysis. This polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out with 20-25 ng of genomic DNA, 0.1-0.15 µl each of oligonucleotide primer for 5′rs10830963 (primer forward: ACGTTGGATGCCCCCAGTGATGCTAAGAAT -3′ 5'and reverse ACGTTGGATGGCATAGGCAGAATATTCCC -3' in a 2-µl final volume (Termociclador Lifetecnologies, LA, CA). Hardy Weinberg equilibrium was calculated with a statistical test (Chi-square). The variant of MTNR1B gene was in Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (p=0.28).

Statistical analysis:

Sample size was calculated to detect differences over 2.5 kg in body weight loss with 90% power and 5% significance (n=140 in each group of diet). The statistical analysis were realized by intention to treat. Comparison of categorical variables were assessed by using chi-square test. Numerical variables with normal distribution were analyzed with a two-tailed Student's t-test. Non-parametric variables were analyzed with the Wilcoxon test. The

statistical analysis to evaluate the gene –diet interaction was an univariate ANCOVA with Bonferroni test post Hoc The statistical analysis was performed for the combined *CG* and *GG* as a group and CC genotype as second group, with a dominant model. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant. SPSS version 15.0 has been used to realize statistical analysis.

RESULTS

Two hundred and eighty obese subjects were included in the study and 270 followed up and finalized the survey (figure 1), only 10 patients were not included in the trial. The mean age was 49.4 ± 6.2 years (range: 28-66), the mean body mass index 35.1 ± 4.2 kg/m² (range: 30.1-40.3) and the mean weight was 91.8 ± 5.1 kg (range: 86.3-96.9). 143 patients (52.9%) had the genotype CC, 105 patients CG (38.9%) and 22 patients *GG* (8.2%). Age was similar in the three-genotype groups (CC; 49.5 ± 5.1 years vs CG; 48.8 ± 7.2 years vs GG; 49.2 ± 6.3 years: ns).

In the group of (Diet HP) 137 obese patients (72 CC genotype and 65 G allele carriers), basal evaluation of nutritional intake with a 3 days written food record showed a calorie intake of 2018.7 ± 236.1 kcal/day, a carbohydrate intake of 200.2 ± 28.3 g/day (43.4 % of calories), a fat intake of 62.0 ± 9.2 g/day (33.7% of calories) and a protein intake of 77.3 ± 12.1 g/day (23.9% of calories). During the dietary intervention, these patients reached the right recommendations of the diet HP; 1023.9 cal/day, 32.5% of fats (38.6 g/day), 33.5% of carbohydrates (87.9 g/day) and 34.5% of proteins (89.6 g/day).

In the group of (Diet S) 133 subjects (71 CC genotype and 62 G allele carriers), basal evaluation of nutritional intake with a 3 days written food record showed a calorie intake of 2017.4<u>+</u>493.0 kcal/day, a carbohydrate intake of 208.2<u>+</u>48.9 g/day (43.1% of calories), a fat intake of 82.9<u>+</u>28.3 g/day (36.5% of calories) and a protein intake of 88.3<u>+</u>32.2 g/day (20.4% of calories). During the intervention, these subjects reached the recommendations of diet S; 1028.7 cal/day, 27.2% fats (37.9 g), 52.9% carbohydrates (144.2 g/day), and 19.9% proteins (55.5 g/day).

Table 1 shows anthropometric parameters and blood pressure characteristics of participants at baseline and at months 3 and 9 of intervention.

In both genotype groups, adiposity parameters and systolic blood pressure decreased. After weight loss with a severe hypocaloric diet, high protein-low carbohydrate (Diet HP; CC vs. CG+GG at 9 months); BMI (delta:- 3.3 ± 0.2 kg/m²:p=0.02), weight (delta:- 8.6 ± 1.1 kg vs. -6.2 ± 0.9 kg: p=0.01), fat mass (delta:- 6.2 ± 1.8 kg vs. -3.7 ± 1.2 kg: p=0.01) and waist circumference (delta:- 11.7 ± 2.1 cm vs. -6.7 ± 1.9 cm: p=0.02) decreased. The improvement of these variables was higher in non-G allele carriers. After weight loss with a standard protein severe hypocaloric diet, (Diet S; CC vs. CG+GG al 9 months), BMI (delta:- 3.1 ± 0.2 kg/m² vs. -2.7 ± 0.3 kg/m²:p=0.04), weight (delta:- 7.6 ± 1.4 kg vs. -5.1 ± 1.2 kg: p=0.03), fat mass (delta:- 6.3 ± 1.2 kg vs. -4.2 ± 1.1 kg: p=0.03) and waist circumference (delta:- 10.7 ± 1.4 cm vs. -6.3 ± 1.8 cm: p=0.01) decreased, too. This improvement of anthropometric parameters was higher in non-G allele carriers was higher in non-G allele carriers both hypocaloric diets independently of the genotype (table 1).

Table 2 reports biochemical variables. After weight loss with Diet HP, (CC vs. CG+GG at 9 months); total cholesterol (delta:- 9.9 ± 2.4 mg/dl vs. -4.8 ± 2.2 mg/dl:p=0.01), LDL-cholesterol (delta:- 8.3 ± 0.9 mg/dl vs. -10.1 ± 0.2 mg/dl: p=0-01), insulin (delta:- 4.7 ± 0.8 UI/L vs. -0.9 ± 1.0 UI/L: p=0.03), triglycerides (delta:- 17.7 ± 3.9 mg/dl vs. -6.1 ± 2.8 mg/dl: p=0.04) and HOMA IR (delta:- 0.8 ± 0.2 units vs. -0.2 ± 0.1 units: p=0.03) improved only in no G allele carriers. After weight loss with Diet S, in the group of subjects without G allele, insulin levels (delta (CC vs. CG+GG): -3.4 ± 0.6 UI/L vs. -1.2 ± 0.4 UI/L: p=0.02), triglycerides (delta:- 29.2 ± 3.4 mg/dl vs. -8.2 ± 3.8 mg/dl: p=0.03), HOMA-IR (delta (CC vs. CG+GG): -1.1 ± 0.2 units vs. -0.1 ± 0.1 units: p=0.01), total cholesterol (delta:- 15.9 ± 7.4 mg/dl vs. -5.8 ± 2.9 mg/dl:ns) and LDL-cholesterol (delta:- 13.7 ± 5.9 mg/dl vs. -6.0 ± 2.9 mg/dl: ns) decreased, too.

Table 3 reports changes of serum adipokines. After weight loss with diet HP, both genotype groups showed a significant decrease on leptin levels (CC vs. CG+GG at 9 months) (delta: -22.1+7.1: ng/ml vs. -22.2+9.2 ng/ml:ns). After dietary intervention with Diet S, both genotypes showed a significant decrease on leptin levels (delta: -24.9+8.1: ng/ml vs. -26.2+8.8 ng/ml:ns). The effect on leptin levels were independently of dietary intervention. Resistin and adiponectin levels remained unchanged after both diets and in both genotypes.

DISCUSSION

In this randomized dietary intervention trial of 9 months, we detect a relationship among the rs10830963 variant of *MTNR1B* gene and changes of adiposity parameters, lipid profile and insulin resistance. Our data show that the G allele was associated with a worse weight loss, lipid and insulin resistance improvements secondary to both hypocaloric diets.

Otherwise, the common genetic SNP rs10830963 of MTNR1B has been associated with obesity and fasting glucose levels in different cross sectional studies (26-27). Moreover, there are few studies evaluating the relationship between a dietary intervention and a genetic variant located in MTNR1B (15-17). Our findings of adiposity parameters analysis suggested that the MTNR1B variant (rs10830963) may affect total body weight and fat mass response, even specific fat composition as waist circumference (trunk fat). According to our results, a previous study found an association between other genetic variant of MTNR1B (rs4753425) and total body fat (28). Goni et al (29) have reported that the rs10830963 was related with body composition changes after 6 months with two hypocaloric diets (low-fat diet vs high fat diet), although this gene-diet interaction became less significant at 24 months of follow-up. A recent study reported that this common genetic variant was associated with the timing of the melatonin rhythm (30). G allele carriers showed a later melatonin offset and longer duration of elevated melatonin levels. The authors proposed that the disruption of melatonin rhythm among carriers of the risk allele might produce an increase of food intake. Other hypothesis, it is possible that rs10830963 may be involved in the regulation of MTNR1B gene expression or other gene expression that might influence the role of melatonin on energy storage.

The second finding of our study is the relationship of this genetic variant with the modification of cholesterol levels after both diets. The circadian system,

melatonin is one of the chronobiotics, has a main role in coordinating lipid metabolic pathways through activation or repression of genes imply in metabolism (31-32). On the other hand, it has been observed that melatonin administration can decrease lipid levels in both human and animal studies (33). In a recent study, administration of melatonin decreased LDL cholesterol in obese subjects (32) and in type 2 diabetic patients poorly controlled with metformin (34), too. In our design, we observed a relationship between the rs10830963 variant of MTNR1B gene and LDL-cholesterol response after weight loss with both hypocaloric diets. Given that melatonin appears to be involved in various lipid phenotypes, it can be speculated that the effect of MTNR1B genetic variant on dynamics of melatonin expression thereby could influence cholesterol levels. For example, Tuomi et al (35) reported that rs10830963 variant might affect MTNR1B mRNA expression in other cell types related to cholesterol metabolism. Finally Goni et al (16) have showed that G allele was associated with lower decrease in total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol in response to a high-fat diet and opposite effect was found in a lowfat diet. A meta-analysis has reported significant interactions between MTNR1B genotype and fat intake on cholesterol levels (36), too These results are in line with the "differential susceptibility hypothesis", which proposes that risk alleles may function like plasticity genes because genetic risk could be modified by environmental factors including nutrients (37).

The third important finding of our study is that G allele carriers showed less improvement of insulin and HOMA-IR after weight loss than non-G allele carriers independently of the type of diet. The mechanisms by which the MTNR1B rs10830963 affects insulin resistance remains unknown. It could be speculated that the effect of the *MTNR1B* genetic variant on dynamics of melatonin expression thereby could influence glucose metabolism The effect of feeding on the rhythmic mRNA expression of clock genes (38) or circadian

rhythmic balance (39) have also been reported in animals. Grotenfeld et al (40) have reported the relationship of this genetic variant with glucose metabolism. This investigation showed that among females at risk for gestational diabetes mellitus, non-G allele carriers seem to benefit from lifestyle intervention. In other studies, the risk G allele has been related with decreased insulin secretion in response to glucose (41) and decreased insulin sensitivity (42), too. An in vitro study (43) observed that the G-allele of rs10830963 that leads to increase glucose level was associated with reduced pancreatic cell function (HOMA-B). Sparso et al (44) reported that G-allele carriers had reduced suppression of hepatic glucose production during a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp indicating hepatic insulin resistance.

Our study has limitations. Firstly, we only analysed one SNP of *MTNR1B* gene, so other genetic variants in this or other genes could be related with our observations. Secondly, we did not measure circulating melatonin levels in the study population, which prevented the potential analysis of the relationship between serum melatonin levels and the genetic variant. Finally, it is difficult to evaluate which macronutrient played the main role of the detected effect of both diets on metabolic parameters and gene-diet interaction.

In summary, our design showed the association of rs10830963 *MTNR1B* polymorphism with body weight loss induced by two different hypocaloric diet and provided additional evidence on metabolic response such as cholesterol, insulin resistance and fasting insulin levels.

Conflict of Interest: All authors (Author 1, Author 2, Author 3 and Author 4) declare that they have no conflict of interest.

No funding

Statement of Informed Consent: "Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study."

Ethical approval: The study was approved by our local Ethical Committee (n46/2017)

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

REFERENCES:

- Kumar Jha, P., E. Challet, and A. Kalsbeek.. Circadian rhythms in glucose and lipid metabolism in nocturnal and diurnal mammals. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 2015 418: 74–88.
- Shi SQ, Ansari TS, McGuinness OP, Wasserman DH, Johnson CH. Circadian disruption leads to insulin resistance and obesity. Curr Biol 2013;23:372–81.
- Coomans CP, van den Berg SA, Lucassen EA, Houben T, Pronk AC, van der Spek RD, Kalsbeek A, Biermasz NR, Willems van Dijk K, Romijn JA. The suprachiasmatic nucleus controls circadian energy metabolism and hepatic insulin sensitivity. Diabetes 2013;62:1102–8
- Gomez Abellan P, Madrid JA, Ordovas JM, Garault M. Chronobiology aspects of obesity and metabolic syndrome. Endocrinol Nutr 2012;59:50-61.
- Cipolla-Neto, J., F. G. Amaral, S. C. Afeche, D. X. Tan, and R. J. Reiter.
 2014. Melatonin, energy metabolism, and obesity: a review. *J. Pineal Res.* 56: 371–381.
- de Luis DA, Aller R, Izaola O, Díaz Soto G, López Gómez JJ, Gómez Hoyos E, Torres B, Villar A, Romero E. Effects of a High-Protein/Low-Carbohydrate versus a Standard Hypocaloric Diet on Weight and Cardiovascular Risk Factors during 9 Months: Role of a Genetic Variation in the Cannabinoid Receptor Gene (CNR1) (G1359A Polymorphism). Ann Nutr Metab. 2015;66:125-31
- Tuomi, T., C. L. F. Nagorny, P. Singh, H. Bennet, Q. Yu, I. Alenkvist, B. Isomaa, B. Östman, J. Söderström, A. K. Pesonen, et al. 2016. Increased melatonin signaling is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes. *Cell Metab.* 23: 1067–1077
- Moreno-Aliaga MJ, Santos JL, Marti A, Martinez JA: Does weight loss prognosis depend on genetic make-up? Obes Rev 2005; 6: 155–168
- Prokopenko I, Langenberg C, Florez JC, Saxena R, Soranzo N. Variants in MTNR1B influence fasting glucose levels. Nat Genet 2009;41:77–81
- 10. DeMenna, J., S. Puppala, G. Chittoor, J. Schneider, J. Y. Kim, G. Q. Shaibi, L. J. Mandarino, R. Duggirala, and D. K. Coletta. Association of

common genetic variants with diabetes and metabolic syndrome related traits in the Arizona insulin resistance registry: a focus on Mexican American families in the southwest. Hum. Hered. 2014: 78: 47–58.

- Dashti, H. S., J. L. Follis, C. E. Smith, T. Tanaka, M. Garaulet, D. J. Gottlieb, A. Hruby, P. F. Jacques, J. C. Kiefte-De Jong, S. Lamon- Fava, et al. Gene-environment interactions of circadian-related genes for cardiometabolic traits. Diabetes Care. 2015 38: 1456–1466
- 12.Andersson EA, Holst B, Sparso T, Grarup N, Banasik K, Holmkvist J, Jorgensen T, Borch-Johnsen K, Egerod KL, Lauritzen T, Sorensen TI, Bonnefond A, Meyre D, Froguel P, Schwartz TW, Pedersen O, Hansen T: *MTNR1B* G24E variant associates with BMI and fasting plasma glucose in the general population in studies of 22,142 Europeans. Diabetes 2010; 59: 1539–1548
- 13.Szewczyk-Golec K, Woźniak A, Reiter RJ. Inter-relationships of the chronobiotic, melatonin, with leptin and adiponectin: implications for obesity. J Pineal Res 2015: 59:277–291
- 14. Barrenetxe J, Delagrange P, Martínez JA. Physiological and metabolic functions of melatonin. J Physiol Biochem 2004: 60:61–72
- 15.Goni L, Cuervo M, Milagro FI, Martínez JA Gene-Gene Interplay and Gene-Diet Interactions Involving the MTNR1B rs10830963 Variant with Body Weight Loss. J Nutrigenet Nutrigenomics. 2014;7:232-42.
- 16. Goni L, Sun D, Heianza Y, Wang T, Huang T, Cuervo M, Martínez JA, Shang X, Bray GA, Sacks FM, Qi L Macronutrient-specific effect of the MTNR1B genotype on lipid levels in response to 2 year weight-loss diets. J Lipid Res. 2018;59:155-161
- 17. de Luis DA, Izaola O, Primo D, Aller R. Association of the rs10830963 polymorphism in melatonin receptor type 1B (MTNR1B) with metabolic response after weight loss secondary to a hypocaloric diet based in Mediterranean style Clin Nutr. 2018: 37: 1563-1568.
- Mataix J, Mañas M. Tablas de composición de alimentos españoles. Ed: University of Granada, 2003
- 19.Lukaski H, Johson PE. Assessment of fat-free mass using bioelectrical impedance measurements of the human body. Am J Clin Nutr 1985;41:810-7.

- 20.Duart MJ, Arroyo CO, Moreno JL. Validation of an insulin model for the reactions in RIA. Clin Chem Lab Med 2002;40:1161-1167.
- 21. Mathews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 1985;28:412-414
- 22. Friedewald WT, Levy RJ, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem 1972; 18: 499-502.
- 23.Meier U, Gressner M. Endocrine regulation of energy metabolism: review of pathobiochemical and clinical chemical aspects of leptin, Ghrelin, adiponectin, and resistin. Clinical Chemistry 2004;50:1511-1525.
- 24.Pfutzner A, Langefeld M, Kunt T, Lobig M. Evaluation of human resistin assays with serum from patients with type 2 diabetes and different degrees of insulin resistance. Clin Lab 2003;49:571-576
- 25.Suominen P. Evaluation of an enzyme immunometric assay to measure serum adiponectin concentrations. Clin Chem 2004;50:219-221.
- 26.Kelliny C, Ekelund U, Andersen LB, Brage S, Loos RJ, Wareham NJ, Langenberg C. Common genetic determinants of glucose homeostasis in healthy children: the European Youth Heart Study. Diabetes 2009;58:2939–2945.
- 27.Andersson EA, Holst B, Sparso T, Grarup N, Banasik K, Holmkvist J, Jorgensen T, Borch-Johnsen K, Egerod KL, Lauritzen T, Sorensen TI, Bonnefond A, Meyre D, Froguel P, Schwartz TW, Pedersen O, Hansen T. *MTNR1B* G24E variant associates with BMI and fasting plasma glucose in the general population in studies of 22,142 Europeans. Diabetes 2010; 59: 1539–1548
- 28. Staiger H, Machicao F, Schäfer SA. Polymorphisms within the novel type 2 diabetes risk locus MTNR1B determine β-cell function. PLoS One 2008; 3:e3962
- 29.Leticia Goni1,2 · Dianjianyi Sun3 · Yoriko Heianza3 · Tiange Wang3 · Tao Huang4 · J. Alfredo Martínez. A circadian rhythm-related *MTNR1B* genetic variant modulates the effect of weight-loss diets on changes in

adiposity and body composition: the POUNDS Lost trial Eur J Nutr. 2018 Mar 7. doi: 10.1007/s00394-018-1660-y.

- 30.Lane JM, Chang AM, Bjonnes AC. Impact of common diabetes risk variant in MTNR1B on sleep, circadian, and melatonin physiology. Diabetes 2016; 65:1741–1751
- 31.Gooley, J. J., and E. C. Chua. Diurnal regulation of lipid metabolism and applications of circadian lipidomics. J. Genet. Genomics. 2014: 41: 231– 250.
- 32.Koziróg, M., A. R. Poliwczak, P. Duchnowicz, M. Koter-Michalak, J. Sikora, and M. Broncel. Melatonin treatment improves blood pressure, lipid profile, and parameters of oxidative stress in patients with metabolic syndrome. J. Pineal Res. 2011; 50: 261–266
- Sun, H., F. Huang, and S. Qu. Melatonin: a potential intervention for hepatic steatosis. Lipids Health Dis. 2015 14: 75–80.
- 34. Kadhim, H. M., S. H. Ismail, K. I. Hussein, I. H. Bakir, A. S. Sahib, B. H. Khalaf, and S. A. R. Hussain. Effects of melatonin and zinc on lipid profile and renal function in type 2 diabetic patients poorly controlled with metformin. J. Pineal Res 2006; 41: 189–193
- Tuomi, T., C. L. F. Nagorny, P. Singh, H. Bennet, Q. Yu, I. Alenkvist, B. Isomaa, B. Östman, J. Söderström, A. K. Pesonen, et al.. Increased melatonin signaling is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes. Cell Metab. 2016; 23: 1067–1077
- 36.Dashti, H. S., J. L. Follis, C. E. Smith, T. Tanaka, M. Garaulet, D. J. Gottlieb, A. Hruby, P. F. Jacques, J. C. Kiefte-De Jong, S. Lamon- Fava, et al. Gene-environment interactions of circadian-related genes for cardiometabolic traits. Diabetes Care 2015; 38: 1456–1466
- Dalle Molle, R., H. Fatemi, A. Dagher, R. D. Levitan, P. P. Silveira, and
 L. Dubé. Gene and environment interaction: is the differential susceptibility hypothesis relevant for obesity? Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 2017;73: 326–339

- 38. Yanagihara H, Ando H, Hayashi Y, Obi Y, Fujimura A. High-fat feeding exerts minimal effects on rhythmic mRNA expression of clock genes in mouse peripheral tissues. Chronobiol Int 2006;23:905–14.
- 39. Cano P, Jimenez-Ortega V, Larrad A, Reyes Toso CF, Cardinali DP, Esquifino AI. Effect of a high-fat diet on 24-h pattern of circulating levels of prolactin, luteinizing hormone, testosterone, corticosterone, thyroidstimulating hormone and glucose, and pineal melatonin content in rats. Endocrine 2008;33:118–25
- 40.Grotenfelt NE, Wasenius NS, Rönö K, Laivuori H, Stach-Lempinen B, Orho-Melander M, Schulz CA, Kautiainen H, Koivusalo SB, Eriksson JG. Interaction between rs10830963 polymorphism in MTNR1B and lifestyle intervention on occurrence of gestational diabetes. Diabetologia. 2016;59:1655-1658.
- 41.Lyssenko V, Nagorny CL, Erdos MR et al. Common variant in MTNR1B associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes and impaired early insulin secretion. Nat Genet 2009: 41:82–88
- 42. Jonsson A, Ladenvall C, Ahluwalia TS. Effects of common genetic variants associated with type 2 diabetes and glycemic traits on alphaand beta-cell function and insulin action in humans. Diabetes 2013: 62:2978–2983
- 43. Song JY, Wang HJ, Ma J, Xu ZY, Hinney A, Hebebrand J, Wang Y. Association of the rs10830963 polymorphism in MTNR1B with fasting glu cose levels in Chinese children and adolescents. Obes Facts. 2011;4:197-203.
- 44. Sparso T, Bonnefond A, Andersson E, Bouatia-Naji N, Holmkvist J, Wegner L, Grarup N, Gjesing AP, Banasik K, Cavalcanti-Proenca C, Marchand M, Vaxillaire M, Charpentier G, et al. G-allele of intronic rs10830963 in MTNR1B confers increased risk of impaired fasting glycemia and type 2 diabetes through an impaired glucose-stimulated insulin release: Studies involving 19,605 Europeans. Diabetes 2009;58:1450–1456

TABLE 1: CHANGES IN ANTHROPOMETRIC PARAMETERS IS10830963 (mean<u>+</u>S.D)

Characteristics	DIET HP (n=137)	DIET S (n=133)	
	<i>CC</i> (n=72)	<i>CG+ GG</i> (n=65)	<i>CC</i> (n=71)	CG+ GG (n=62)
	O time At 3 mths At 9 mths	0 time At 3 mths At 9 mths	O time At 3 mths At 9 mths	0 time At 3 mths At 9 mths
вмі	35.3±5.1 33.2±4.1 [*] 32.0±4.0 [*]	35.1±5.0 33.4±4.0 [*] 32.0±5.0 [*]	35.2±5.0 33.6±4.1 [*] 32.1±5.0 [*]	35.1±4.0 33.5±4.1 [*] 32.4±4.0 [*]
Weight (kg)	92.6±7.3 86.8±7.2 [*] 84.0±9.1 [*]	89.3±10.4 85.0±8.1 [*] 83.1±8.2 [*]	92.1±10.6 87.6±8.1 [*] 84.5±7.2 [*]	90.9±12.3 87.6±11.2 [*] 85.9±7.1 [*]
Fat mass (kg)	36.4±3.0 32.6±4.0 [*] 30.2±5.0 [*]	34.4±4.1 32.1±5.1 [*] 30.7±7.1 [*]	36.3±5.0 32.8±4.0 [*] 30.0±4.1 [*] 3	37.6±7.0 34.4±7.1 [*] 32.4±6.1 [*]
WC (cm)	114.1 <u>+</u> 9.0 107.1 <u>+</u> 5.2 [*] 102.4 <u>+</u> 6.1 [*]	109.9 <u>+</u> 7.1 106.2 <u>+</u> 5.1 [*] 103.1 <u>+</u> 7.0 [*]	112.4 <u>+</u> 8.1 108.3 <u>+</u> 7.0 [*] 101.7 <u>+</u> 8.2 [*] 1	111.2 <u>+</u> 9.0 106.7 <u>+</u> 7.1 [*] 104.9 <u>+</u> 8.0 [*]
SBP (mmHg)	126.2 <u>+</u> 8.1 123.4 <u>+</u> 6.2 [*] 123.0 <u>+</u> 6.0 [*]	127.0 <u>+</u> 9.2 124.2 <u>+</u> 7.0 [*] 123.1 <u>+</u> 8.2 [*]	125.1 <u>+</u> 9.1 121.5 <u>+</u> 8.1 [*] 121.0 <u>+</u> 8.1 [*]	129.0 <u>+</u> 8.0 126.2 <u>+</u> 7.1 [*] 124.6 <u>+</u> 7.0 [*]
DBP (mmHg)	81.1 <u>+</u> 8.0 79.9 <u>+</u> 7.1 77.2 <u>+</u> 9.1	80.1 <u>+</u> 9.0 79.9 <u>+</u> 8.1 78.9 <u>+</u> 7.1	80.2 <u>+</u> 9.0 78.2 <u>+</u> 4.5 78.4 <u>+</u> 5.0	80.2 <u>+</u> 5.0 79.8 <u>+</u> 4.0 79.2 <u>+</u> 4.3

HP: high protein/low carbohydrate. S: standard. DBP: Diastolic blood pressure. Mths: Months BMI: body mass index. SBP: Systolic blood pressure. DBP: Diastolic blood pressure WC: Waist circumference. (*) p<0.05, in each genotype group with basal values. No differences between genotypes groups.

Characteristics	DIET HP (n=137)							DIET S (n=133)						
	<i>GG</i> (n=72)			GG	<i>+ GT</i> (n=65)		<i>GG</i> (n=71)			GG+ GT (n=62)				
	O time At 3 mths At 9 mths O time At 3 mths At 9 m				<u>mths</u>	<u>0 time</u>	At 3 mths	At 9 mths	<u>0 time At</u>	: 3 mths	At 9 mths			
								-0)					
Glucose (mg/dl)	104.5±8.1	102.2 <u>+</u> 8.0	99.4±7.0	102.9 <u>+</u> 8.2	101.9±8.3	100.6 <u>+</u> 5.1	99.7±8.1	99.8 <u>+</u> 7.0	97.2±8.1	101.7 <u>+</u> 9.1	98.7±6.5	98.3 <u>+</u> 7.1		
Total ch. (mg/dl)	207.5 ±9.0	201.3 <u>+8</u> .1 [*]	197.7±9.7 [*]	210.2 <u>+</u> 22.0	205.1±11.0	204.4 <u>+</u> 9.1	215.3±11	.9 202.1 <u>+</u> 6.4 [*]	193.3±10.4 [*]	208.5 <u>+</u> 10.2	203.5±9.9	203.8 <u>+</u> 10.9		
LDL-ch. (mg/dl)	130.3±9.1	124.7 <u>+</u> 8.1 [*]	122.0±9.3 [*]	130.4 <u>+</u> 13.2	125.9±11.1	125.1 <u>+</u> 13.1	127.1±10	.5 117.3 <u>+</u> 10.2	* 114.8±11.0 [*]	123.1 <u>+</u> 10.1	119.5±8.2	117.6 <u>+</u> 9.1		
HDL-ch. (mg/dl)	54.8±9.0	54.6 <u>+</u> 8.0	53.9±7.0	55.6 <u>+</u> 8.1	54.3±9.1	53.8 <u>+</u> 7.1	55.8±9.2	53.2 <u>+</u> 9.1	52.1±9.0	55.0 <u>+</u> 7.3	54.1±8.9	55.2 <u>+</u> 8.0		
TG (mg/dl)	122.8±11.	1 106.3 <u>+</u> 9.4 [*]	105.1±9.2 [*]	126.9 <u>+</u> 12.8	123.1±13.2	120.9 <u>+</u> 20.3	138.1±12	.6 119.4 <u>+</u> 10.1	* 109.1±10.1*	116.1 <u>+</u> 11.3	110.3±12.	.3 108.9 <u>+</u> 21.9		
Insulin (mUI/L)	11.7±5.0	9.5 <u>+</u> 3.0 [*]	7.0±4.1 [*]	11.2 <u>+</u> 7.1	9.6±5.1	9.3 <u>+</u> 7.4	11.2±4.1	8.8 <u>+</u> 4.1*	7.8±3.0*	10.6 <u>+</u> 5.0	9.9±4.2	9.4 <u>+</u> 3.1		
HOMA-IR	2.6±0.9	2.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	1.8 <u>+</u> 0.8 [*]	2.2 <u>+</u> 1.2	2.0±1.1	2.0 <u>+</u> 1.4	2.5±1.1	2.2 <u>+</u> 1.0*	1.5±1.0*	2.1 <u>+</u> 1.0	2.3±1.1	2.1 <u>+</u> 1.2		
CRP (mg/dl)	5.1±3.0	4.9 <u>+</u> 2.8	4.8±3.2	5.3 <u>+</u> 3.1	5.2±3.0	5.1 <u>+</u> 3.3	4.1±2.1	4.3 <u>+</u> 3.1	4.8±4.0	5.0 <u>+</u> 4.1	5.1±3.8	5.0 <u>+</u> 3.1		

HP: high protein/low carbohydrate. S: standard. Ch: Cholesterol. TG: Triglycerides CRP: c reactive protein. HOMA-IR: Homeostasis model assessment. LDL: low density lipoprotein,. HDL: High density lipoprotein. Mths: months (*) p<0.05, in each group with basal values. No statistical differences among genotypes in each diet or in different diet groups..

TABLE 3: CIRCULATING ADYPOCITOKINES (mean<u>+</u>S.D)

Characteristics	DIET HP (n=137)						DIET S (n=133)						
	<i>GG</i> (n=72)			<i>GG+ GT</i> (n=65)				GG (n=71)			GG+ GT (n=62)		
	<u>0 time</u>	At 3 mths	At 9 mths	0 time	At 3 mths	At 9 mths	<u>0 time</u>	At 3 mths	At 9 mths	0 time	At 3 mths	At 9 mths	
Adiponectin (ng/ml)	10.0±3.9	11.6±2.8	12.1±3.2	10.9±4.1	12.0±3.1	13.8±4.3	11.2±4.3	12.9±3.1	13.1±4.2	10.8±5.0	11.2±4.2	12.4±5.0	
Resistin (ng/ml)	6.0 <u>+</u> 2.0	6.1 <u>+</u> 2.1	6.0 <u>+</u> 4.1	6.1 <u>+</u> 3.2	6.0 <u>+</u> 3.3	6.0 <u>+</u> 3.1	6.1 <u>+</u> 2.1	6.2 <u>+</u> 3.0	5.8 <u>+</u> 2.9	5.9 <u>+</u> 3.5	5.6 <u>+</u> 4.1	5.2 <u>+</u> 4.1	
Leptin (ng/ml)	34.1±11.1	12.9±9.3 [*]	12.0±5.1 [*]	35.1±8.0	13.8±4.1 [*] 1	12.9±5.3 [*]	36.9±9.0	15.1±6.2 [*]	12.0±2.1 [*]	38.1±5.9	17.1±4.2 [*]	12.3±4.1 [*]	

.(*) p<0.05, in each group with basal values. No statistical differences among genotypes in each diet or in different diet groups.

Highlights

• All adiposity parameters, systolic blood pressure and leptin levels decreased in all subjects after both diets (Diet HP: a high protein/low carbohydrate vs. Diet S: a standard severe hypocaloric diets).

re-proof

- This improvement of adiposity parameters was higher in non-G allele carriers than G allele carriers.
- After weight loss with Diet HP, total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, insulin, triglycerides and HOMA IR improved only in no G allele carriers.
- After weight loss with Diet S in non G allele carriers, insulin levels, triglycerides, HOMA-IR, total cholesterol and LDLcholesterol decreased.